

## PNG\_Work\_Why Insight Dashboard

---

**Total Context blocks: 1251, Concepts: 42, Categories: 6**

---

This is an automated Report that can be generated using the settings in the Concept Location phase, as follows:

- Set the level of granularity (i.e. number of Concepts required)
- Select the 'Categories' of interest (e.g. Tags)
- Select which 'Concepts' to investigate

The Report is in 5 Sections - described below. The description is based on data that has been 'categorised' ('tagged') in the technology to analyse positive and negative sentiment; however the Report can be produced across any combination of Categories of Concepts.

### **Section 1 - Quadrant Overview**

This is a high-level, visual chart displayed in a 'magic quadrant' format. The axes are:

- Relative Frequency: a measure of the conditional probability of the Concept, given the Category (in this case positive/negative sentiment)
  - e.g. given we are looking at occurrences of positive (or negative) sentiment, how likely is it that the Concept 'service' is mentioned
- Strength: a measure of the conditional probability of the Category (in this case positive/negative sentiment) given the particular Concept
  - e.g. given we are looking at occurrences of the Concept 'service', how often is it mentioned in a positive (or negative) sentiment, i.e. the 'strength' of the association

There are four pertinent areas to the Quadrant; the differing colours of the Concepts denoting their association with the particular Category.

Concepts in Quadrant 1 are weak and less prevalent or likely within the Category - a good place for any negative sentiment to manifest. Concepts in Quadrant 4 are strong, prominent and more likely to co-occur with the Category - a good place for positive sentiment to manifest [Given the Category in this description is based on positive/negative sentiment].

## **Section 2 - Ranked Concepts for Categories Overview**

This is a more quantitative analysis in ranked barchart format of the most prominent Concepts within the particular Category - defined via a measure of the combination of their strength and frequency characteristics.

Prominence is given by joint probability / product of marginal probabilities. e.g. given the Attribute A and Category C, prominence is equal to (the co-occurrence count for A and C / total number of context blocks in data set) / (occurrence of A / number of context blocks) x (occurrence of C / number of context blocks)

It also contains hyperlinks to the appropriate reference in Section 4 of the Report - see below.

## **Section 3 - Ranked Compound Concepts for Categories Overview**

This is similar to Section 2, providing a ranked list of the most prominent Concept pairs for the Category.

## **Section 4 - Supporting Text Overview**

This provides a good supporting text excerpt, and real evidence, for the top related Concepts - for each of the Concepts identified and ranked in Section 2.

## **Section 5 - Ranked Concept Count**

This provides the actual ranked list of ALL Concepts and their associated reference count from the original base data.

# **Table of Contents**

## **1. Quadrant Report**

## **2. Ranked Concepts for Categories**

- Gender\_female
- Gender\_male
- Gender\_other
- PNG\_Work\_no
- PNG\_Work\_unsure
- PNG\_Work\_yes

## **3. Ranked Compound Concepts for Categories**



- Gender\_female
- Gender\_male
- Gender\_other
- PNG\_Work\_no
- PNG\_Work\_unsure
- PNG\_Work\_yes

## **4. Supporting Text Summary**

- Gender\_female
- Gender\_male
- Gender\_other
- PNG\_Work\_no
- PNG\_Work\_unsure
- PNG\_Work\_yes











## **5. Ranked Counts**

# 1. Quadrant Report













## 2. Ranked Concepts for Categories











Category: Gender\_female

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
take	5	50	 1.5
country	9	48	 1.4
people	23	37	 1.1
boats	7	37	 1.1
asylum	6	35	 1.0
smugglers	5	35	 1.0
seekers	6	35	 1.0
refugees	10	34	 1.0
work	8	30	 0.9
boat	5	25	 0.7











Category: Gender\_male

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
boat	8	74	 1.1
policy	6	73	 1.1
work	10	68	 1.1
smugglers	5	64	 1.0
seekers	6	63	 1.0
refugees	10	63	 1.0
asylum	6	63	 1.0
boats	6	62	 1.0
people	20	61	 0.9
country	5	51	 0.8

Category: Gender\_other











Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
thought	< 1	< 1	 0.0
deterrent	< 1	< 1	 0.0
coming	< 1	< 1	 0.0
problems	< 1	< 1	 0.0
likely	< 1	< 1	 0.0
take	< 1	< 1	 0.0
refugee	< 1	< 1	 0.0
cost	< 1	< 1	 0.0
able	< 1	< 1	 0.0
smugglers	< 1	< 1	 0.0











Category: PNG\_Work\_no

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
election	6	82	 1.6
cost	5	78	 1.5
problems	4	73	 1.4
country	8	67	 1.3
believe	4	63	 1.2
policy	5	46	 0.9
refugees	8	42	 0.8
people	16	39	 0.8
work	7	38	 0.7
asylum	4	36	 0.7

Category: PNG\_Work\_unsure


Category: PNG\_Work\_yes

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
policy	9	32	 1.8
work	16	32	 1.8
problem	6	31	 1.7
solution	7	28	 1.5
boats	9	24	 1.3
refugees	10	19	 1.0
people	21	18	 1.0
seekers	6	17	 0.9
asylum	5	16	 0.9
boat	6	14	 0.8




Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
deterrent	5	68	 2.5
boat	18	67	 2.5
smugglers	13	66	 2.4
coming	6	45	 1.6
asylum	10	45	 1.6
seekers	10	44	 1.6
boats	10	43	 1.6
people	32	42	 1.5
refugees	14	37	 1.4
work	10	28	 1.0


















### 3. Ranked Compound Concepts for Categories

#### Category: Gender\_female

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
asylum & seekers	6	36	 17.6
country & problems	2	64	 8.4
smugglers & desperate	< 1	75	 7.7
take & desperate	< 1	67	 7.5
take & place	< 1	100	 7.5
take & countries	< 1	67	 7.5
boat & making	< 1	100	 6.2
country & countries	< 1	100	 6.2
country & money	< 1	67	 5.9
country & sure	< 1	100	 5.7
asylum & believe	1	56	 5.7
people & desperate	2	64	 5.7
seekers & believe	1	56	 5.6
smugglers & likely	< 1	60	 5.4
smugglers & money	< 1	50	 5.4
take & likely	< 1	100	 5.3
take & money	< 1	67	 5.3
work & sure	< 1	67	 5.2
people & smugglers	5	35	 4.9
country & trying	< 1	100	 4.8

#### Category: Gender\_male






Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
seekers & asylum	6	63	 16.4
country & poor	< 1	88	 8.8
policy & poor	< 1	100	 6.3

smugglers & people	5	65	 4.7
asylum & real	< 1	75	 4.6
seekers & real	< 1	75	 4.6
policy & election	< 1	100	 4.6
work & term	< 1	100	 4.3
work & political	< 1	100	 3.8
policy & able	< 1	100	 3.6
boat & trying	< 1	100	 3.4
refugees & deal	< 1	100	 3.2
boat & refugee	< 1	75	 3.0
boat & coming	< 1	67	 3.0
boat & people	4	73	 2.9
policy & likely	< 1	75	 2.9
smugglers & money	< 1	50	 2.9
boat & term	< 1	100	 2.8
asylum & capacity	< 1	67	 2.6
boat & asylum	1	82	 2.6
















**Category: Gender\_other**

Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
No results			












**Category: PNG\_Work\_no**










Concept	Rel Freq (%)	Strength (%)	Prominence
problems & deal	< 1	100	 13.3
asylum & seekers	4	37	 12.0
problems & women	< 1	100	 10.6
cost & money	< 1	100	 9.5
problems & country	2	100	 8.7




















problems & political	< 1	75	 8.6
election & thought	< 1	83	 8.4
country & poor	< 1	63	 7.9
cost & thought	< 1	100	 7.6
election & political	< 1	100	 7.1
believe & able	< 1	100	 6.6
believe & support	< 1	100	 6.3
cost & time	< 1	100	 6.2
election & believe	< 1	100	 5.9
cost & capacity	< 1	100	 5.9
country & money	< 1	100	 5.8
problems & desperate	< 1	100	 5.8
problems & place	< 1	100	 5.8
problems & countries	< 1	100	 5.8
believe & capacity	< 1	100	 5.5




**Category: PNG\_Work\_unsure**

<b>Concept</b>	<b>Rel Freq (%)</b>	<b>Strength (%)</b>	<b>Prominence</b>
problem & sure	1	75	 17.8
seekers & asylum	5	16	 14.9
policy & poor	< 1	50	 11.0
policy & capacity	< 1	100	 10.4
solution & term	< 1	50	 10.4
work & term	2	67	 10.0
asylum & desperate	< 1	100	 8.3
seekers & desperate	< 1	100	 8.2
policy & making	< 1	100	 8.0
work & political	1	60	 7.9
boat & poor	< 1	100	 7.8

problem & deal	< 1	100	 7.5
problem & poor	< 1	100	 7.5
boats & desperate	< 1	100	 7.3
work & sure	1	50	 7.2
problem & term	< 1	50	 6.2
policy & real	< 1	100	 6.1
work & problem	2	83	 6.1
work & deal	< 1	67	 6.1
work & poor	< 1	67	 6.1

**Category: PNG\_Work\_yes**

<b>Concept</b>	<b>Rel Freq (%)</b>	<b>Strength (%)</b>	<b>Prominence</b>
asylum & seekers	10	45	 27.8
deterrent & real	< 1	100	 21.4
deterrent & support	< 1	100	 21.0
deterrent & money	< 1	100	 11.7
smugglers & people	13	66	 11.4
smugglers & money	1	83	 11.3
asylum & real	< 1	75	 11.0
seekers & real	< 1	75	 10.8
smugglers & asylum	3	91	 9.6
smugglers & seekers	3	91	 9.5
boat & coming	2	78	 8.4
deterrent & countries	< 1	100	 8.3
boat & trying	< 1	100	 8.0
boat & making	< 1	100	 7.8
boat & refugee	< 1	75	 7.2
refugees & refugee	1	100	 6.9
smugglers & likely	< 1	60	 6.8

deterrent & solution	< 1	100	 6.5
smugglers & place	< 1	100	 6.4
boat & asylum	3	82	 6.2

## 4. Supporting Text Summary

### Category: Gender\_female

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
take	countries	Get to Port Morseby, pay a someone to take you the rest of the way. Until the agreement between Muslim countries that allows unrestricted travel is removed, we don't really have a lot of hope.
	desperate	It is a cruel outcome for desperate people, and here I refer to the people of New Guinea who languish in poverty and just do not need to take on this problem. Throwing money at a developing country to solve our problems is just colonistic in the extreme and not acceptable to me.
	likely	Will take months, even years to get Manus facilities operation. By then the New Guinea Gvt is likely to pull back on its offer.
	money	There is no formal agreement, PNG will take the money for some new schools then back out, especially when they end up with hundreds of young muslim men rioting.
	place	PNG is a place with much violence and trouble of its own. I do not believe it is fair or wise to ask them to take on this responsibility.
country	countries	It will create chaos in a country that is already in chaos. It will put a strain in the relationship we have between countries.
	money	Too many refugees - New Guinea is a backward country. Will cost us too much money.
	problems	Too many problems in this country now.
	sure	dollar bill to be paid for by the Aust Taxpayer) PNG is also a Christian country so dealing with Muslims will be very interesting especially since their principal livestock in PNG is PIGS plus they have indicated they want the refugees to learn Pigeon English (yeah sure) Usually Australia gives PNG something in the vicinity of 500 to 1 BILLION dollars per year, and the Australian Government then directs. where that money is best spent.
people	trying	Depends on what you mean by "work". It may stop people trying to settle in Australia, but for those who attempt the trip, it is going to be an ongoing moral and financial drain on our country.
	desperate	Nothing will stop desperate people seeking help for their families.
boats	smugglers	The people smugglers will exploit this and continue to send people
		It depends on the extent to which it does actually stop the boats.
asylum	believe	I find it hard to believe that the message will get through to the real asylum seekers.
	seekers	PNG not big enough - they have enough to cope with without asylum seekers headed for our shores ending up there

smugglers	desperate	desperate people will still be exploited by people smugglers
	likely	I think the prospect of re-settlement in New Guinea will deter people from coming and make it less likely that the people smugglers can convince people to set out.
	money	I think people will not pay money to People smugglers if they are assured that they WILL NOT get to Australia, therefore people will stop dying a sea and the business of People Smugglers will dry up
seekers	believe	I find it hard to believe that the message will get through to the real asylum seekers.
refugees		As I said above PNG is not in a position in any way, not financially, culturally, religiously or even geographically (Manus Island) to provide help or safety to the refugees.
work	sure	It is an election fix, it won't work because it is just that a fix. PNG will benefit financially sure but I don't think the people will want these people in their midst.
boat	making	It is not that I mind boat people but I am fearful that Muslims may do what they have done in the UK making zones where women have to dress to suit the religion. I am fearful of maintaining the freedom of women.

**Category: Gender\_male**

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
boat	asylum	Whilst the design is to stop boat arrivals the bulk of asylum arrivals are by air.
	coming	I think it will help slow down the rate of asylum seekers coming by boat, but won't slow it down to the levels that the Howard Government had it at.
	people	The village and land-holders will not put up with boat people permanently settled on their tribal lands.
	refugee	Because refugee tourists will not bother to travel to Australia by boat if they know they will end up in PNG.
	term	Not long term due to the cost unless there is a rapid decline in boat arrivals.
	trying	Already there seems to be a lessening of people wanting to travel by boat. However, Tony Abbott is trying to sabotage the program.
policy	able	Labor has not been able to deliver one policy successfully yet. I don't see this changing
	election	Too many caveats in the policy which leave loop holes. Labor to not intend to implement post election
	likely	PNG domestic politics and economic realities are likely to undermine chances of implementation. At best we can hope this policy is a bluff by Rudd.
	poor	Rudd and Labor have a poor history of implementing complex policy responses
work	political	As mentioned above I don't think it can work in the short term but opens possibilities for the future. For this reason, announced at this time, it fits the definition of: 'political ploy'.
	term	It may work but it is unlikely to be a long term solution.
smugglers	money	No-one will pay tens of thousands of dollars to people smugglers if they have no expectation of ending up in Australia. This is a very hardline approach which is likely - if the plan actually comes together - which will send a strong message that illegal immigrants are just going to waste their money.
	people	people smugglers will find a way around it
seekers	asylum	The Prime Minister of PNG only sees great quantities dollars and it is doubtful if he has considered the ramifications. PNG does not have the infrastructure to house asylum seekers.
	real	This initiative should act as a real disincentive for asylum seekers to pay for something they won't get.
refugees	deal	If there are too many refugees they'll break the deal immediately.
asylum	capacity	I don't think Papua New Guinea has the capacity, knowledge or the experience to resettle and handle asylum seekers.
	real	This initiative should act as a real disincentive for asylum seekers to pay for something they won't get.
boats		Still the boats will come

boats		
people		PNG cannot look after its own people.
country	poor	OK Let's say they get permission to stay in PNG. That country is rather violent and poor.

**Category: Gender\_other**

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
thought		
deterrent		
coming		
problems		
likely		
take		
refugee		
cost		
able		
smugglers		



**Category: PNG\_Work\_no**

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
election	believe	It is a mere election ploy - indeed, number 3 election ploy on this subject. So many people seem to believe Rudd despite him being so obvious.
	political	I think it's a quick political fix. Rudd knows the courts will overturn it in time but it will get him through the election with some sort of "new solution".
	thought	Not thought through. Quick fix for election purposes.
cost	capacity	Neither PNG or Rudd have the capacity to bed this down properly. The cost will be astronomical and the boats will keep coming.
	money	No infrastructure there and it will cost Australia forever more. We already allocate so much money to PNG.
	thought	Again, the proposal is ill thought out, will have a disasterous impact on the local people as well as the refugees sent there, also the cost will be prohibitive
	time	Australia can't afford the cost for any length of time. The costs to Australia have not been disclosed.
problems	countries	There is no quick fix or any easy answer to this one but I'm certain that you don't solve serious problems, especially of this magnitude, by hiving it off to another country - especially PNG, one of the poorest countries in the region and one beset by massive social and economic problems of its own.
	country	The country has massive problems of its own.
	deal	We have no right to shift our problems to another country, especially one ill equipped to deal with them. God save us from this madness.
	desperate	People are desperate. We will be creating many more people with trauma problems which some society will have to deal with and which will have flow on effects for several generations.
	place	In the first place, it's not been passed in parliament yet, and I expect it to be opposed by both the Greens and the Coalition. Secondly, no policy announced and implemented by Labor in recent years has been without problems, caused by failure to fully develop programmes before announcing them.
	political	Due to political correctness, there is a refusal to acknowledge the actual problems related to Islamic immigration.
	women	Same as above, too costly, PNG hasn't the infrastructure or social structure, and it will be a new people-smuggling jump-off point to Qld. Plus, they won't send women/children to PNG, or people with health problems, so we will have to support them here.
country	money	They (PNG) have a corrupt political system so vast sums of money will be siphoned off. This is already happening with the aid money we pay to that country and that is when the money is partially controlled by our government.
	poor	OK Let's say they get permission to stay in PNG. That country is rather violent and poor.

believe	able	Don't believe that the PNG Christian culture will be able to assimilate other cultures into their society without there being massive further problems occurring. How will they afford all these new immigrants?
	capacity	I don't believe that PNG will take that many refugees as they don't have capacity at the moment and as soon as things start going wrong such as riots etc they will say no more.
	support	Just an election stunt, no more no less. Seriously how anyone can believe that the left faction will support this after an election has rocks in their head
policy		This seems another Rudd policy on the run.
refugees		Thursday Island is only 4 kms from PNG and the refugees will certainly go across the water and then they will be on Australian soil and will stay.
people		PNG is a nation which has challenges of its own. That may not deter people from seeking refuge, probably in ignorance of the realities of PNG.
work		It's a Labor idea, so it won't work.
asylum	seekers	This reduces the number of asylum seekers permitted to arrive in Papua new Guinea

**Category: PNG\_Work\_unsure**

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
policy	capacity	Present polities have shown unique capacity to screw up most implementation of policy. Still support labour on principle although distrust present representatives of the brand.
	making	I don't think this 'deal' with PNG can be done. It's Rudd making policy on the run again, and there will be big bill.
	poor	Rudd and Labor have a poor history of implementing complex policy responses
	real	As part of an overall competent immigration policy this is a good component HOWEVER there is currently limited capacity on Manus Island for processing and the PNG gov hasn't been overly committed to this in their statement and there is no formal treaty or agreement with PNG therefore unfortunately like all Labor policies it is likely to fail as they seem to have no experience in actually delivering anything. in the real world which sets everything they do up to fail
work	deal	If refugee's keep coming and are sent to PNG then I assume PNG will pull out of the deal. So only half confident it will work.
	political	As mentioned above I don't think it can work in the short term but opens possibilities for the future. For this reason, announced at this time, it fits the definition of: 'political ploy'.
	poor	Labor Party has a poor record concerning handling of boat people, including the time when Rudd was PM. Why would it work now?
	problem	It will work to deter the smugglers but there is still a problem with all the people that are still here and we don't know how PNG will handle it. that is an unknown factor.
	sure	I think the problem is so entrenched, I am not sure what will work.
	term	It may work but it is unlikely to be a long term solution.
problem	deal	It seems unlikely to work because it doesn't deal with the problem.
	poor	It assumes that refugees won't want to go to a poor country like New Guinea, but we don't know this. If it true it might work but if many refugees still want to flee to NG by boat, then the problem hasn't been solved.
	sure	As I said above not really sure this will be the answer to the problem
	term	PNG has so many problems of its own that I don't think this will solve the asylum seeker problem in the long term, but it will probably work in the short term to serve Rudd's interests.
solution	term	And that is the sticking point. Works in terms of providing a long term solution - probably not.
boats	desperate	To me, it is inhumane to do this to desperate people. Whether it works in "stopping boats" is irrelevant.
refugees		Finding an uneasy balance between human rights issues for 'refugees', fair and equitable distribution of benefits to

		PNG populace at large, and adequately representing the fairmindedness of the Australian way.
people		Australian based immigration lawyers will try and stop it because of their fear of loss of business
seekers	asylum	The vain hope of the asylum seekers or lack of information and possibly lack of education of the asylum seekers may still make the risk viable for them
	desperate	It will largely depend on whether IMAs are indeed largely persons who are able to choose the manner in which they claim asylum (the ALP/Conservative argument) or desperate persons fleeing by the only means possible (Greens argument). In large part, this is the first open experiment that might determine which characterisation is more correct.
asylum	desperate	It will largely depend on whether IMAs are indeed largely persons who are able to choose the manner in which they claim asylum (the ALP/Conservative argument) or desperate persons fleeing by the only means possible (Greens argument). In large part, this is the first open experiment that might determine which characterisation is more correct.
boat	poor	Labor Party has a poor record concerning handling of boat people, including the time when Rudd was PM. Why would it work now?

**Category: PNG\_Work\_yes**

Concept	Related Concept	Supporting Text
deterrent	countries	With effective communication in source and intermediary countries, the deterrent effect will be maximised
	money	It will be a huge deterrent. 2. Easier for us to put money to support an offshore arrangement.
	real	It appears to be a very real deterrent to getting on a boat.
	solution	PNG is both a deterrent and a solution.
	support	It will act as a deterrent but I do not support it
boat	asylum	I think it will help slow down the rate of asylum seekers coming by boat, but won't slow it down to the levels that the Howard Government had it at.
	coming	this gives no incentive to travel by boat to arrive in Australia. Why bother if you do this, it will stop you coming into Australia
	making	It is not that I mind boat people but I am fearful that Muslims may do what they have done in the UK making zones where women have to dress to suit the religion. I am fearful of maintaining the freedom of women.
	refugee	Because refugee tourists will not bother to travel to Australia by boat if they know they will end up in PNG.
	trying	Already there seems to be a lessening of people wanting to travel by boat. However, Tony Abbott is trying to sabotage the program.
smugglers	asylum	Regional responsibility and hardline will stop people smuggler business and allow better allocation of asylum seekers.
	likely	I think the prospect of re-settlement in New Guinea will deter people from coming and make it less likely that the people smugglers can convince people to set out.
	money	No-one will pay tens of thousands of dollars to people smugglers if they have no expectation of ending up in Australia. This is a very hardline approach which is likely - if the plan actually comes together - which will send a strong message that illegal immigrants are just going to waste their money.
	people	Decisive rapid action would put the smugglers off guard
	place	PNG is NOT a place that the people smugglers are selling! The word will get back.
	seekers	Asylum seekers risking their lives from Indonesia are interested in Australia as its final destination. This will stop that and also put the people smugglers out of business.
coming		I have visited PNG regularly over the past 20 years and I know those seeking economic advantage will stop coming if PNG is their only option.
asylum	real	This initiative should act as a real disincentive for asylum seekers to pay for something they won't get.
	seekers	the worst scenario I can see is that asylum seekers find that PNG is no that bad and begin to settle there.

seekers	real	This initiative should act as a real disincentive for asylum seekers to pay for something they won't get.
boats		Refugees genuine or otherwise will not want to be settled in PNG. Perhaps they will sail in better boats onto NZ, and come in through the back door?
people		These people do not want New Guinea. They want Aust, with all the lurks and perks.
refugees	refugee	If they are truly refugees, they will be thankful for the freedom that New Guinea gives. A measure of their true "refugee" situation (read: "desperation"), will be to see if (a) the boats stop, and (b) if those already in Indonesia turn back and go home.
work		There has been a lot of work done before any public move in this matter. Rudd has done his homework and Abbott knows it

## 5. Ranked Counts

Concept	Count
people	264
refugees	126
work	116
boat	90
boats	83
country	80
seekers	74
asylum	73
smugglers	65
policy	64
solution	56
problem	47
election	46
take	44
agreement	44
believe	44
coming	42
cost	41
problems	38
time	38
likely	31
thought	31
money	31
government	28
support	26
deterrent	25
able	25
live	25
sure	24
term	23
desperate	22
place	22
countries	22
political	22
refugee	21
capacity	20
deal	19
trying	19
poor	19
women	18
real	17
making	13

Category	Count
male	815
no	650
female	429
yes	344
unsure	234
other	1